



consorzio nazionale interuniversitaria per le telecomunicazior



Wireless MAC layer Reconfigurability from an SDN perspective

Giuseppe Bianchi, University of Roma Tor Vergata

Credits to: I. Tinnirello, P. Gallo, D. Garlisi, F. Giuliano, F. Gringoli

Software-Defined Radio from wikipedia

→ A software-defined radio system, or SDR, is a radio communication system where **components** that have been typically implemented in hardware (e.g. mixers, filters, amplifiers, modulators/demodulators, detectors, etc.) are instead **implemented by means of software** on a personal computer or embedded system.

→20+ years long research path

⇒AirBlue, CalRadio, GNURadio, RUNIC, SORA, USRP, WARP, …

→Niche commercial exploitation

➡ Military, etc

Software-Defined Networking from wikipedia

→ Software defined networking (SDN) is an approach to building computer networks that separates and abstracts elements of these systems [...] SDN allows network administrators to have programmable central control of network traffic without requiring physical access to the network's hardware devices.

\rightarrow 5 years long research path

⇒ Pioneered by 2008 OpenFlow paper

→almost 2B\$ company acquisitions in 2012

⇒ Mainly Nicira, but also Contrail, Big Switch, Cariden, Vyatta, ...

Why SDN == \$\$\$



Business: provisioning and control of network services

 \Rightarrow Fostering easy deployment \rightarrow fast innovation

→ Technical enabler: open configuration APIs

⇒ e.g. OpenFlow
⇒ but SDN is NOT (just) OpenFlow

SDN: it's all about abstractions

So far mostly dealt with in wired networks



OpenFlow: a compromise [original quotes: from OF 2008 paper]

- → Best approach: "persuade commercial name-brand equipment vendors to provide an open, programmable, virtualized platform on their switches and routers"
 ⇒ Plainly speaking: open the box!! No way...
- →Viable approach: "compromise on generality and seek a degree of switch flexibility that is
 - ⇒ High performance and low cost
 - ⇒ Capable of supporting a broad range of research
 - Consistent with vendors' need for closed platforms.

A successful compromise, indeed... ask Nicira ...

OpenFlow: just one abstraction good for switches, not for «all»

Matching Rule	Action
	 FORWARD TO PORT ENCAPSULATE&FORWARI DROP Extensible
SwitchMACMACEthVLAPortsrcdsttypeII	N IP IP IP TCP TCP Src Dst Prot sport dport

What about SDN in wireless?

→Wireless Openflow...

⇒Wireless specific actions: very helpful...
⇒ ... but match/action API way too skinny
→We all agree now: SDN >> OpenFlow

Challenge: which programming abstractions for wireless terminals and nodes?

⇒Without requiring to «open the box»

Beneficial to multiple scenarios

- →Dynamic spectrum access
- →Cognitive
- Performance optimization in niche environments

 \rightarrow home, industrial, ...

 \rightarrow Adaptation to specific context or applications

→Improved support for new PHY
 →Virtualization and access network sharing

→And many more...

A basic (but compelling) use case: multi-tenant WLAN sharing



Well, we might «hack» this



The point is another

→All-in-one MAC protocol, e.g. 802.11

⇒We can probably stretch it to fit our context
 →Creative parameter configs, overlay tricks, ...
 →We are good at mastering complexity

 and brings to accepted papers
 ⇒When impossible? Just promote an amendment!

→But what if... we could change the MAC protocol for each and every context?

⇒And we could trivially program our MAC operation?
⇒Much simpler!

⇒No anymore amendments, unless HW changes

Vision: Software-Defined MAC...



... but...

→ Best approach: "persuade commercial name-brand equipment vendors to provide an open programmable platform on their Wireless NICs"

⇒ Plainly speaking: *let me hack your NIC!! No way...*

→Viable approach: "compromise on generality and seek a degree of Wireless NIC flexibility that is

⇒ High performance and low cost

⇒ Capable of supporting a broad range of research

Consistent with vendors' need for closed platforms.

Compromise in Wireless MAC cannot be just a rule-action table!

Current SW coding is wrong answer [even assuming Boxes are opened]



Giuseppe Bianchi

DSP/FPGA SDR boards

- ⇒ Cost, performance: just for research
- ➡ «open» box approach: must convince vendors

➔ Open firmware

- ⇒ Probably only openFWWF, sneaked out...
- ⇒ not "much" (?!) vendor support

→ BUT in both cases...

- ➡ Huge skills/experience, low level languages, inter-module dependencies
 - \rightarrow Assembly, VHDL, low level C, ...
- ⇒ Complexity! Slow deployment time

Right answer

→Find the right abstractions!

- ⇒Must yield simple programming models
- ⇒Must not impair performance
- ⇒Sufficient flexibility to support most customization needs

⇒Must be «vendor-friendly» ©

\rightarrow Our own attempt at this:

- ⇒ Wireless MAC processor: Computing environment and abstractions for programming MAC protocols
- ⇒ MAClets: from offline programming to online, dynamic, MAC stack injection and ultra fast reconfiguration, << 1 micro second</p>

Learn from computing systems?

→ 1: Instruction sets perform elementary tasks on the platform

 \rightarrow A-priori given by the platform

 \rightarrow Can be VERY rich in special purpose computing platforms

» Crypto accelerators, GPUs, DSPs, etc

→ 2: Programming languages sequence of such instructions + conditions

⇒ Convey desired platform's operation or algorithm

→ 3: Central Processing Unit (CPU) execute program over the platform

- ⇒ Unaware of what the program specifically does
- ⇒ Fetch/invoke instructions, update registers, etc

Clear decoupling between:

- platform's vendor
- programmer

- → implements (closed source!) instruction set & CPU
- → produces SW code in given language

Learn from computing systems?



Clear decoupling between:

- platform's vendor
- programmer

- → implements (closed source!) instruction set & CPU
- → produces SW code in given language

1: Which elementary MAC tasks?

("our" instruction set!)

→ ACTIONS

⇒ frame management, radio control, time scheduling

→TX frame, set PHY params, RX frame, set timer, freeze counter, build header, forge frame, switch channel, etc

→ EVENTS

⇒ available HW/SW signals/interrupts

→Busy channel signal, RX indication, inqueued frame, end timer, etc

→ CONDITIONS

⇒ boolean/arithmetic tests on available registers/info

→Frame address == X, queue length >0, ACK received, power level < P, etc</p>

Actually implemented API

Platform: Broadcom Airforce54g commodity card

eventsCH UP CH DOWN RCV ACK RCV DATA RCV PLCP RCV RTS RCV CTS RCV BEACON HEADER END COLLISION MED_DATA_CONF MED_DATA_START MED_DATA_END QUEUE OUT UP QUEUE IN OVER END TIMER

actionsset/get(reg, value) switch RX() tx ACK() tx beacon() tx data() tx RTS() tx CTS() switch TX() set timer(value) set bk() freeze_bk() update_retry() more_frag() prepare_header()

conditions dstaddr myaddr queue length queue type cw cwmin cwmax backoff RTS thr ACK on srcaddr frame_type fragment channel tx_power

Actually implemented API

Platform: Broadcom Airforce54g commodity card

events	actions	conditions
CH_UP	set/get(reg, value)	dstaddr
CH DOWN	switch RX()	mvaddr

Just "one" possible API

convenient on our commodity platform

"others" possible as well

improved/extended tailored to more capable radio HW

Our point: <u>have a specified set</u> of actions/events/conditions, not "<u>which</u>" specific one)

2: How to compose MAC tasks?

("our" programming language!)

Convenient "language": XFSM eXtended Finite State Machines

⇒Compact way for composing <u>available</u> acts/ev/cond to form a <u>custom</u> MAC protocol logic



XI	SM formal notation	meaning			
S	symbolic states	MAC protocol states			
Ι	input symbols	Events			
0	output symbols	MAC actions			
D	n-dimensional	all possible settings of n			
	linear space	configuration registers			
	$D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n$				
F	set of enabling func-	Conditions to be veri-			
	tions $f_i : D \rightarrow$	fied on the configuration			
	$\{0,1\}$	registers			
U	set of update func-	Configuration com-			
	tions $u_i: D \to D$	mands, update regis-			
		ters' content			
Т	transition relation	Target state, actions			
	$T : S \times F \times I \rightarrow$	and configuration com-			
	$S \times U \times O$	mands associated to			
		each transition			

XFSM example: legacy DCF

simplified for graphical convenience



Actions:

set_timer, stop_timer, set_backoff, resume_backoff, update_cw, switch_TX, TX_start

Events:

END_TIMER, QUEUE_OUT_UP, CH_DOWN, CH_UP, END_BK, MED_DATA_CONF

Conditions:

medium, backoff, queue

3: How to run a MAC program?

(MAC engine – XFSM onboard executor - our CPU!)

→MAC engine: specialized XFSM executor (unaware of MAC logic)

- ⇒Fetch state
- ⇒Receive events
- ⇒Verify conditions
- ⇒ Perform actions and state transition

→Once-for-all "vendor"-implemented in NIC (no need for open source)

⇒"close" to radio resources = straightforward realtime handling

MAC Programs

В

→ MAC description:

⇒ XFSM





T(C,A)

T(C,B)

А

→ Transitions





Machine Language Example (DCF, 544 bytes)

Memory address				Mer	nory		_		Description
						al State			
0x0BC0:									
0x0BD0:									
0x0BE0:	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	
	00 01 02 03 Coded state machine								
0x0C00:	0100	0100	0100	0401	0108	0508	1C01	010B	
0x0C10:					•			-	
0x0C20:								-	
0x0C30:									Outgoing transitions
0x0C40:									IOT STATE UI
0x0C50:									$0401 \ 0108 \ 0508 = \text{trans. 1}$
0x0C60:	0C03	E100	0106	0106	FFFF	6.601	0110	1600	1C01 010B 010B = trans. 2 3001 010D 0200 = trans. 3
0x0C70:									FFFF = delimiter
0x0C80:	010B	010B	FFFF	5F01	010F	0.ÓA0	0000	0100	
0x0C90:	0D00	FFFF	C100	0102	0A02	C700	0103	0B03	
0x0CA0:	E100	0106	0D06	FFFF	D300	0105	0D05	E100	Transition 1
0x0CB0:	0106	0D06	FFFF	D300	0105	0705	È100	0106	0401 = event pointer
0x0CC0:	0106	FFFF	6D01	0111	1800	0000	0100	0100	01 = event parameter
0x0CD0:									08 = event index
0x0CE0:	1111	9601	0113	0513	0000	0100	0500	0000	05 = target state
0x0CF0:	0100	0304	E100	0106	1206	0401	0108	<u>`</u> Q508	08 = action
0x0D00:									
0x0D10:									
0x0D20:									
0x0D30:									
0x0D40:									03 = transitions offset (9 bits)
0x0D50:									E = FFFF delimiter
0x0D60:									
0x0D70:									
0x0D80:	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	
	00	01						:	
0x0D90:			0082	1388	2022	2788	2888	3588	
UXUDAU:	0x0DA0: 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000								
Giuseppe Bianchi									

Wireless MAC Processor: Overall architecture



- → <u>MAC Engine:</u> XFSM executor
- → <u>Memory blocks:</u> data, prog
- → <u>Registers</u>: save system state (conditions);
- → <u>Interrupts block</u> passing HW signals to Engine (events);
- → <u>Operations</u> invoked by the engine for driving the hardware (actions)

From MAC Programs to MAClets

→Upload MAC program on NIC from remote

⇒While another MAC is running⇒Embed code in ordinary packets



"Bios" state machine: DEFAULT protocol (e.g. wifi) which all terminals understand

From theory to practice

→ Obviously, instruction set and MAC Engine can be "easily" implemented in a software-defined radio...
⇒ e.g., FPGA, WARP, ...

→ But... can this be done on commodity HW?

⇒ e.g., ultra-cheap ordinary WLAN NIC

→ Yes!!!

⇒ Reference platform: broadcom Airforce54g 4311/4318

- \rightarrow Hands-on experience on card's assembly language FW
- \rightarrow general purpose processor (88 MHz), 64 registers,
 - 4KB data memory, 32 KB code memory
- ⇒ Partly leveraging existing card HW facilities
 - \rightarrow HW configuration registers for radio resource and event handling
 - \rightarrow Frequency, power, channel sensing, frame forging facilities, etc
 - →Available HW events (packet queued, plcp end, rx end, rx correct frame, crc failure, timer expiration, carrier sense, etc)

Implementation at a glance

→Delete 802.11 firmware

⇒ Both Broadcom and openFWWF we do NOT want yet another firmware MAC to hack!

→ Replace it with [once for all developed]:

⇒ Implementation of actions, events, conditions

 \rightarrow in part reusing existing HW facilities

⇒MAC engine: XFSM executor

→ Develop "machine language" for MAC engine

⇒ Custom made "bytecode" specified and implemented

 \rightarrow 6 bytes instructions, state transition table (sparseness exploited)

\rightarrow Address several annoying technical hurdles

⇒ NO direct HW interrupts control available in Broadcom
 ⇒ State and state transition optimizations, …

Public-domain

→ Supported by the FLAVIA EU FP7 project

- ⇒ http://www.ict-flavia.eu/
 - → general coordinator:
 - → Technical coordinator:

giuseppe.bianchi@uniroma2.it ilenia.tinnirello@tti.unipa.it

→ Public domain release in alpha version

- ⇒ <u>https://github.com/ict-flavia/Wireless-MAC-Processor.git</u>
- ⇒ Developer team:
 - \rightarrow <u>ilenia.tinnirello@tti.unipa.it</u>
 - \rightarrow <u>domenico.garlisi@dieet.unipa.it</u>
 - \rightarrow <u>fabrizio.giuliano@dieet.unipa.it</u>
 - \rightarrow <u>francesco.gringoli@ing.unibs.it</u>

→ Released distribution:

- ⇒ Binary image for WMP
- ⇒ You DO NOT need it open source! Remember the "hard-coded" device philosophy...
 - \rightarrow Conveniently mounted and run on Linksis or Alix
- ⇒ Source code for everything else
- ⇒ Manual & documentation, sample programs





WMP Functional validation «static» MAC programs

Success IF WMP permits very easy/fast Lower MAC modifications or re-design (vs months or hands-on experience with openFWWF/assembly

→ "scientifically trivial" use cases, tackling distinct MAC aspects recurring in literature proposals

- ⇒ Piggybacked ACK
 - \rightarrow Programmable management of frame replies
- ⇒ Pseudo-TDMA
 - \rightarrow Precise scheduling of the medium access times
- ⇒ Randomized multi-channel access
 - \rightarrow Fine-grained radio channels control
- ➡ Multi-tenant access network sharing, with different protocols
 → virtualization

→ Development time: O(days)

⇒ Including bug fixing in engine/API, otherwise hours

Piggybacked ACK

If available, send TCP ACK instead of MAC ACK, otherwise send normal ACK



Pseudo-TDMA

[literature proposal]

After first random access, schedule next transmissions at fixed temporal intervals



Randomized multichannel access

Per EACH frame, randomly select backoff AND channel (switch on as little as per frame basis)



Multi-threaded MAC



AP Virtualization with MAClets

Two operators on same AP/infrastructure

- \Rightarrow A: wants TDM, fixed rate
- ⇒ B: wants best effort DCF

\rightarrow Trivial with MAClets!

⇒ Customers of A/B download respective TDM/DCF MAClets!

\rightarrow Isolation via MAClet design

⇒ Time slicing DESIGNED INTO the MAClets! (static or dynamic)





An Example of Throughput Performance

3 FIXED stations @ 0.63 Mbps vs. 5 BEST stations @ 1Mbps



Conclusions

\rightarrow New vision:

- ⇒ MAC no more an all-size-fits-all protocol
- ⇒ Can be made context-dependent
- ⇒ Complex scenarios (e.g. virtualization) become trivial!

\rightarrow Very simple and viable model

⇒ Byte-coded XFSM injection
 ⇒ Does NOT require open source NICs!

\rightarrow Next steps

- ⇒ We focused on the «act» phase; what about the decision and cognitive plane using such new weapons?
- \Rightarrow can we think to networks which «self-program» themselves?
 - →Not too far, as it just suffices to generate and inject a state machine...